

International experiences in energy regulation, development trends and issues to be considered

ENERGY REGULATION-20

11.17.2021

REGULATION?



- □ **CORE FUNCTION-PURPOSE** = Regulation of prices/tariffs & financial structure of a *monopolistic public service* (energy, water, transport, telecom) for certain level of technical-commercial quality & coverage
- => Economic regulation under technical-operational constraints
 - Difficult work? requires setting quantitative & optimal relationships between Costs - Quality - Coverage of the service, with the aim of fixing prices of different service uses
 - Regulation required only in monopolistic market conditions: regulation should simulate competition conditions to avoid potential negative effects of monopolies (excess profits/economic rent or excess losses due to distorted prices/tariffs; production restrictions; lower service quality)

REGULATION?



- □ How to simulate (perfect) competition effects? Regulation should aim at satisfying some basic economic *substantive objectives*:
 - Economic & Financial Sustainability: Tariffs should generate enough revenue so as to give an efficient firm the opportunity to cover economic costs including a fair and reasonable return on its investment, and generate enough cash-flow to allow service financing
 - Productive efficiency: Minimize service production costs over time (dynamic)
 - Allocative efficiency: different tariffs should reflect the different costs of the different service uses (optimal point for consumers and producers)

REGULATION?



□ As we are considering regulation of *public* services, other essential objectives should be considered when regulating:

- Social objectives: no user/household should be excluded from the service because of its income (or location or other characteristics) but potential subsidies should be well targeted (e.g. none high income users do not need subsidies and should not receive them & all low income users who need subsidies should receive them)
- Simplicity & Non-controversy: price/tariff structure should be simple enough so that all users can understand and accept them

REGULATION? A Long History



- □ Regulation of monopolies? Back to the end of the 19th century
 - US Interstate Commerce Act of 1887: regulating railways (stating "reasonable & just return"; setting up federal regulator)
- □ Form of regulation (regulatory regime/framework)? For 100 years, fixing tariffs/required revenues was based on = historical cost of service + return on assets; but this simple regulatory regime is subject to intrinsic issues:
 - No incentives to reduce costs & No incentive to optimize asset values/volumes (as higher asset value and spending meant automatically higher return in terms of absolute monetary amount)

REGULATION? The 80s/90s Revolution



- □ Waves of markets Liberalization and Privatization in the 80s stimulated the need for new approaches for the control/regulation of privatized public services;
- □ In 1983: major step with approach & recommendations developed by professor *Littlechild* in the context of British Telecom privatization =>
 - Independent regulation
 - Forward-looking Incentive regulation
 - Focus on welfare of existing <u>and</u> future service users (in terms of prices/service quality/security of supply
 - Promotion of competition when relevant & possible

POWER SECTOR REGULATION



□ Examp	les of	maior	chal	lenges?
— —/\ample		٠٠٠هــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	01161	5

- Independent regulation
 - ☐ Usually a long term goal closely related to the development of a country's institutional and political culture & environment it should still be an objective
- Forward-looking approach with performance incentives:
 - ☐ Requires specific methodologies & processes
 - ☐ Requires specific data (Obtaining these data from the operators requires processes and tools);
 - ☐ Requires specific analytical assessments of operational and capital costs efficiency (specialized skills and tools);
 - ☐ Requires business planning approach/state of mind from all stakeholders.

REGULATION? Challenges for Mongolia



- □ **Tariff methodology:** theoretically relevant *but* still room for improvement in terms of its *practical* application; e.g. in terms of asset valuation and assessment of cost efficiency (requires additional data, analysis and tools)
- □ Corporatized utilities have to pay and be responsible for their own Investments (only possible if tariffs recover all costs)
- □ Shifting from current historical cost approach (tariffs based on past costs) to forward-looking approach (tariffs based on future costs) with incentives (bonus & penalties) for improving operational performance = Major step and the future of regulation in Mongolia requires new processes & tools, and to build understanding & consensus about new approach and state of mind



THANK YOU

Richard Schlirf, MacroConsulting

World Bank Consultant

rschlirf@macroconsulting.net